

FEEDBACK FOR STUDENT PRESENTERS

Project _____

(Indicate poster number - example: A5)

1. What was most impressive about this project/presentation?

2. What change could most improve this project/presentation?

Please provide ratings for the following questions based on the rating guidelines below (1 lowest – 4 highest rating)

SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT

Low →High

Is the hypothesis or research question clearly stated?

1 2 3 4 NA

Is the project the result of careful planning?

1 2 3 4 NA

Is the significance of this research clear in terms of the “big picture?”

1 2 3 4 NA

SCIENTIFIC METHOD

Low →High

Is the method of investigation appropriate to the problem?

1 2 3 4 NA

Is the information sufficiently documented?

1 2 3 4 NA

Have sufficient data been collected to justify the conclusions made?

1 2 3 4 NA

Is the student’s own work clearly reflected in the project?

1 2 3 4 NA

COMMUNICATION

Low →High

Does the presentation (display) represent a complete story?

1 2 3 4 NA

Is the presentation (display) self-explanatory?

1 2 3 4 NA

Do the text, charts, and diagrams aid in the understanding of the project?

1 2 3 4 NA

Is the presenter able to give a clear and concise analysis and description of the project?

1 2 3 4 NA

Does the presenter communicate effectively in oral responses to questions?

1 2 3 4 NA

RATING GUIDELINES

- 1 – **Fair** An attempt has been made, but not to the degree for a research conference at this level
- 2 – **Good** Meets the basic level of research and presentation for a research conference at this level
- 3 - **Excellent** Exceeds the basic level of research and presentation for a research conference at this level
- 4 - **Superior** One of the best at the conference in this subject area.
- NA** This question was not applicable or not observable for this presentation.

Additional Comments may be place on the reverse